



## THE PUBLIC'S CHANCE TO OBJECT TO WATER FLUORIDATION WHICH IS PROVEN TO BE A NEUROTOXIN AND IS INJURING CHILDREN

Wed 2:07 pm +01:00, 22 May 2024

posted by Simon Haigh

Simon Haigh

### WATER FLUORIDATION PUBLIC CONSULTATION

We are now in the 9th week of Public Consultation (PC) period for Water Fluoridation for the North East of England including North Yorkshire and have only 4 weeks to respond up to the 17th of June 2024. 1.6 million residents are likely to become fluoridated if we fail to submit our concerns to the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC). To submit your concerns, please follow the link to the government's questionnaire and fill out your consultation form specifying your objections. Try and make simple legal arguments, for example that fluoride is a 'poison' and demonstrates harm at government levels. In order to help you, we have published our replies to the government's consultation below, which we suggest that you read and include in your own answers. For interested parties, there are also further cogent arguments to be found at UK Free Fluoride Alliance.

The link to complete the Public Consultation is as follows and is open to ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITHIN THE UK: <https://consultations.dhsc.gov.uk/en/659c11ef3252dbbae01cb07>

- (1) Library House of Commons 2013 predicted fluorosis (criminal damage)
- (2) Public Health England has documented EVIDENCE of this harm to the population in their own report and have ignored criminal injury
- (3) Dental fluorosis in the most updated toxicological literature is an INDICATOR of osteopathic, kidney, neurological and endocrinol injury
- (4) Organisations are conspiring to injure UK subjects showing FOREKNOWLEDGE based on the latest medical datasets
- (5) The 4 Chief Health officers have admitted culpability by acknowledging RISK, which they are not permitted under the Water Articles
- (6) Indemnities are illegal because they concede risk (Injury and Death)
- (7) The Health Monitoring reports are illegal as they monitor RISK, which they are not permitted to do under the Water Laws & show foreknowledge of injury (Criminal Damage & Conspiracy – Section 23 Maliciously Administering a poison to endanger of inflict grievous bodily harm).
- (8) 'Grievous bodily harm' is compatible legally with the injuries sustained by fluorosis. 'The damage to teeth caused by severe enamel fluorosis is a toxic effect...consistent with prevailing risk assessment definitions of adverse health effects' (National Research Council)
- (9) The government's 'Feasibility and Technical' document outlines risk identified with... 'ALL THE FLUORIDE SCHEMES. Fluoride dosing is predicted to increase the number of properties... to fluorapatite formation risk, and requires further investigation through subsequent implementation'. It is illegal to investigate known and published risks through the implementation of water schemes. Fluorapatite = dental fluorosis = damage to property and environmental corrosion.
- (10) Hexafluorosilicic acid is incompatible with Primary UK law in that it adds a third (and non-permissible) compound of fluorine to water.

There will be no cost-benefit analysis because of medical injuries sustained through fluorosis & there will be a net loss for the population due to criminal damage

- (1) Increased rates of fluorosis that in extreme cases will lead to additional cavities & health cost bills
- (2) Increased rates of thyroid problems outlined by Professor Peckham Reports (Centre for Health Service Studies 2012-2013), which are being willfully ignored by the government
- (3) Loss of IQ points, which impact on earning outcomes for local populations that also negatively impact Gross National Product. National Toxicological Program (Bashash 2017 / 2018, Green 2019 and Till 2015 / 2020)
- (4) Increased rates in childhood cancers and cancers within the adult demographics 'Cancer Causes and Control', Harvard, 2006 / Dr C. Chilvers and D. Conway in 1985. 'Cancer Mortality in England in Relation to Levels of Naturally Occurring Fluoride in Water Supplies' from the Journal of Epidemiological Community Health (1985; 39:44-7).
- (5) Your own Impact assessments are by definition non-impartial & use biased language. For instance, the way that the aforementioned question has been framed as a COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS when in fact a 'Cost Impact Assessment' is designed to ascertain if the impact assessments are beneficial or not.
- (6) Yorkshire People Against Fluoride consider the Impact to have a COST DETRIMENT ANALYSIS, an assessment which has legal connotations for unilateral recompensation due to injury and loss

## Welcome to The Tap Blog

The blog that's fed by you, the readers. Please send in the news and stories that you think are of interest.

[Submit News](#)

### Help Keep The Tap Going

Keeping the Tap going costs. If you appreciate this valuable resource please chip in and help out. Without your support we can't keep the Tap online. Thank you. :-)

 Buy Me a Coffee

Donation amount (GBP)

1.00

 Buy Me a Coffee

### Search The Tap

### Tap Newsletter

Email Address

Subscribe and get The latest Tap posts emailed to you daily

### UK Events 2024

#### The Alternative View Conference

Date: 26 May 2024

All-day event

Location: The Leonardo Hotel, Midsummer Boulevard, Milton Keynes, MK9 2HP, UK

Tickets and Info



- (7) Damage to the environment through illegal water poisoning -Environmental Act Regulations
- (8) Increased litigation & the possibility of accomplices being jailed for showing foreknowledge of criminal damage (Conspiracy)
- (9) Different regions exposed to higher risk (fluoride levels are inherently difficult to regulate safely)
- (10) Costly legal bills for water companies & local councils

The fluoride issue has further implications for medical science & scrutiny

- (1) If the government mandate controversial 'interventions' with full impunity from legal scrutiny (liability), the corporate sector will see this has an opportunity to implement controversial treatments on largescale populations, in which there is a net increase in risk with a depreciation in return of positive health outcomes (i.e. Incentivization)
- (2) We have a gradual erosion of laws & the capture of government institutions through private interest groups steering laws towards illegal risk, which we have seen within the fluoride sector and the pharmaceutical sector.
- (3) Increased centralisation of medicine leads to populational diktats, which submit populations to UNEQUIVOCAL RISK
- (4) If the government mandate cross populational treatments, we have no means to look objectively at the science & to do cross populational studies with control groups, because the control group effectively no longer exists. The evaluation of risks & harms therefore become almost impossible to demonstrate and are meaningless.
- (5) The government and the World Health Organisation are becoming arrogant in that they think it is acceptable to subject populations to ABSOLUTE RISK, without consent, a medical position which is implicitly forbidden under the Nuremberg Codes & the Human Rights Act
- (6) We need to be continually vigilant against corporate and scientific fraud, in which doctors & dentists are not afraid to speak out in fear of their jobs. (robust debate)
- (7) All medicines should be submitted to the same laws that are required of local populations & liabilities, (which are illegal) should be scrapped Consultation Questions

#### HOW COULD THE GOVERNMENT IMPROVE THE CONSULTATION PROCESS

YPAF are continually monitoring the fluoride situation and we have not seen this widely advertised within mainstream media outlets, which suggests a reluctance for the government to constructively engage. The proposals in the consultation will affect Yorkshire negatively and there has been little data published on the implications of Fluoridation in our regions. Lastly consultations on LEVELS OF RISK incurred through subjecting the population to a known toxicological agent that is carcinogenic and is causing injury EVIDENCED in your own government reports (fluorosis / kidney /neurological & endocrinol disorders) is illegal under the Water ACT. The Water Statutes does not permit 'POTENTIAL DANGER TO HUMAN HEALTH'. The Four Chief medical Officers have UNANIMOUSLY stated that RISK IN WRITING so to have a public consultation when potential danger has been HIGHLIGHTED as a FACT is a negation of the legal statutes permitted and is further a violation which contravenes medical Care of Duty stipulated under the law. The fluoridation schemes, the consultation process, the monitoring reports, the public liability laws and the amendments to add fluoride are all illegal by definition and flout the Water Statutes. In all instances the government's position and their amendments to the water laws have without exception been PROVEN to subject the population to ILLEGAL RISK. The government is required by law to prevent harm and should therefore act and desist from public fluoridation.



## JOIN OUR NEWSLETTER

Get the latest Tap posts emailed to you daily

Email Address

Subscribe Now

Post Views: 18

## LEAVE A REPLY

You must be logged in to post a comment.



Use Code **TAP10** for a discount when booking.

### The Alternative View Conference Sunday 26th May 2024

Hosted by Gary Fraughen

Come and have a great day out and hear some fascinating and informative presentations. Network, chat, meet the speakers and share knowledge. Let us come together and be around like-minded souls in these times of great change and great challenge.

### Speakers and Presentations

#### Richard Vobes

From Saving Our Heritage to Saving the Planet

#### Wendy Stacey

Preparing for a New World Consciousness

#### Ian Simpson

Invisible Sky – How Climate Engineering is Hacking Humanity as Well as Our Weather

#### Lucy Wyatt

A Journey Through the Duat

#### Dr Manjir Samanta-Laughton

Space Weather and the Fossil Fuel Fallacy

#### Johan Oldenkamp

The Giza Star Clock and the Transition of the Ages

#### Gary Fraughen

The New Ownership of Ourselves in the Great Reset

## Tickets & Info

Press and Media passes available – click here

## Submit News to The Tap

Your Name

Your Name

Your Email

Your Email

Post Title

Post Title

Moon Spelt Backwards

Antispam Question

Post Content

Post Content

Upload an Image

Please select your image(s) to upload.

Choose File No file chosen

Submit Post



## Links and Ads

---

### Ecommerce-Help

Experts in Ecommerce and Shopping Carts



[www.ecommerce-help.co.uk](http://www.ecommerce-help.co.uk)

### Alternative View Media

The group who run The Tap and The Alternative View Conference. Please check them out.



[www.alternativeview.co.uk](http://www.alternativeview.co.uk)

---

### Recent Comments

pete fairhurst 2 on Ian Smith Victory in NJ – Miles Mathis on Liar Bots (e.g. ChatGPT, et al)

newensign on RFK Jr. takes disastrous position on child transgender issue – Jon Rappoport

Belyi on Opening minds. Saving lives.

newensign on Good Salt – Celtic Salt is Essential

newensign on Good Salt – Celtic Salt is Essential

[ATOM FEED](#)

This site is intended as an informational guide. The remedies approaches and techniques described herein are meant to supplement, and not be a substitute for, professional, medical care or treatments. Any information is for entertainment purposes only. Any previous articles which prefix the **8th of February 2023** have no involvement in new upload to this site. Any Copy right infringements are not intended and any such should be made aware to the site for immediate withdraw. Articles posted here are for your consideration at your discretion. No purported facts have been verified. Articles do not necessarily reflect the views of the poster nor the site owner.

Blog editor - editor[at]tapnewswire.com

